Vortex stability and permanent flow in nonequilibrium polariton condensates

G. Tosi,¹ D. Sanvitto,^{1,a)} M. Baudisch,¹ E. Karimi,² B. Piccirillo,² L. Marrucci,² A. Lemaître,³ J. Bloch,³ and L. Viña¹

¹Departamento de Física de Materiales, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid 28049, Spain ²Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II and CNR-SPIN, Napoli 80126, Italy ³LPN/CNRS, Route de Nozay, 91460, Marcoussis, France

(Received 24 July 2010; accepted 9 October 2010; published online 31 May 2011)

We study the effects of imprinting a single-quantized vortex on the steady state of a microcavity exciton-polariton condensate generated via parametric scattering. Interestingly we observe two distinct regimes: In the first case, at low polariton densities, the effect of the pulsed probe, containing the vortex state, is to generate a gain response in the condensate lasting for tens of picoseconds during which no dissipation of the circulating currents is detected. In the second regime, at higher densities, the gain lasts much less and the circulation is imprinted directly into the steady state, which acquires permanent rotation for as long as the vortex remains within the condensate. We use two different ways of measuring the circulation of the condensate and demonstrate that in both cases, polariton condensation in the parametric scattering regime can sustain permanent supercurrents. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3576151]

I. INTRODUCTION

Microcavity polaritons are quasiparticles constituted by the strong coupling between a cavity-photon and an excitation in a semiconductor material: usually excitons in guantum wells.¹ Since both, excitons and photons, are bosonic particles, also polaritons obey Bose-statistics, and, under sufficiently high density, collective coherent phenomena associated to the Bose-Einstein condensation arise.^{2,3} One of the very peculiar features of polariton particles is their extremely light mass, which makes them perfect candidates for high critical temperature condensates, as recent experiments suggest.⁴ However due to their dissipative nature (some of the longest lifetimes of polaritons in a cavity are around 15 ps)⁵ they belong to a new class of condensates which undergo a phase transition despite their nonequilibrium character, which makes them an extremely interesting test bed for the observation of new phenomena associated to this kind of out of equilibrium bosonic particles. Different manifestations of superfluidity, such as diffusion-less flow without resistance,⁶ density and momentum dependent signatures of sub- and supersonic flow,⁷ stability for higher order of circulation⁸ and the presence of different imprinting regimes of vortex states, reported in this manuscript, being some of the examples of the plethora of new phenomena of nonequilibrium polariton condensates.

In this paper we show that a state of condensed polaritons generated under optical parametric scattering (OPO) regime can be perturbed by a pulsed laser probe carrying a single-quantized circulation of angular momentum which, depending on the coherence of the polariton steady state, can: either only amplify the emission—in this case the vortex state lasts as long as the gain is sustained in the system—or imprint the circulation onto the polariton condensate as another metastable flow pattern sustained by the same boundary conditions as those of the vortex-less state.⁹ This latter observation provides the best way of defining superfluidity for driven dissipative systems.¹⁰ To demonstrate circulation we study the peculiar phase pattern obtained by interfering the emission of the polariton state with a reference wave of constant phase. The visibility of the vortex singularity shows that the circulation is not lost in both regimes. Moreover, in the case of an imprinted vortex, we demonstrate that phase winding is also associated with a difference in wavevector between polaritons circulating on opposite sides of the vortex.

II. EXPERIMENT

The sample studied is a $\lambda/2$ AlAs microcavity with a 20 nm GaAs quantum well placed at the anti-node of the cavity electromagnetic field. All experiments are done in an optical cryostat, maintained at 10 K. The normal mode splitting (Rabi splitting) was 4.4 meV and a slightly negative detuning (between 1 and 3 meV) was chosen for the experiments.

The sample is resonantly pumped around the inflection point of the lower polariton branch (LPB) by a continuous wave (CW) Ti: Al₂O₃ laser with momentum k_p and energy E_p as shown in Fig. 1(a). The power of this laser is always set above threshold ($P_{\rm th} = 250 \text{ W} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$) for the generation of a condensed polariton state around $k_s = 0$ and E_s (called signal) and another weaker state (idler) at $k_i = 2k_p - k_s$ and energy $E_i = 2E_p - E_s$.

After the parametric scattering process is set the signal polariton emission is collected and sent to a spectrometerstreak camera system for time and space resolved images of the whole area covered by the pump ($\sim 2 \times 10^4 \ \mu m^2$). An image of the signal emission is shown in Fig. 1(b) for a pumping power six times the threshold. At a given time the signal is perturbed by a pulsed Laguerre–Gauss laser beam, created by diffracting a Ti:Al₂O₃ beam on a hologram with a

^{a)}Present address: NNL, Istituto Nanoscienze - CNR, Via Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy. Electronic mail: daniele.sanvitto@nano.cnr.it



FIG. 1. (Color online) Experimental scheme. (a) OPO dispersion with the idler, pump and signal states placed at the LPB. (b) OPO spatial image with the pulsed vortex at its time of arrival. (c) Interference fringes with a fork-like dislocation and its (d) corresponding spatial image of the pulsed vortex.

forklike dislocation, lasting for 2 ps and in resonance with the signal polariton condensate [Fig. 1(b)–1(d)]. Real space images can be also mixed in a Michelson interferometer with a reference wave to obtain phase dependent intensity-patterns which unravel the 2π dislocation around the vortex core. This point is characterized by the typical forklike changes in the number of fringes, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

Due to experimental constrains, time-resolved images are averaged over billions of shots. Therefore we are only able to measure deterministic (not random) dynamics of the system.

In order to highlight the spatial density modifications and its evolution introduced by the probe, we remove (unless otherwise stated) the background steady state emission in such a way that negative values of intensity mean a decrease in density introduced into the steady state.

III. RESULTS

The behavior of the vortex injected by the probe laser depends strongly on the excitation condition of the driving field (pump laser). At low excitation powers (close to threshold values), as presented in Fig. 2(a)-2(c), the polariton signal is strongly perturbed by the probe laser which activate a long-living parametrically amplified state on top of the steady state of the unperturbed signal. This regime is characterized by a long living signal (gain), fed by the pump field, which lasts for times much longer than the bare polariton lifetimes. A streak camera image, shown in Fig. 3(a) display the vortex state persisting for at least 80 ps after the probe has arrived. During this time we have observed that no dissipation takes place on the circulating current despite the decay of the gain population, which is demonstrated by a high visibility of the fork dislocation in the interference



FIG. 2. (Color online) Vortex imprinting regimes. Upper (lower) row corresponds to a pump power $1.3 \times P_{th}$ (6 × P_{th}). Steady state before the probe arrival time [(a) and (d)]. For both cases, it is possible to observe the extra rotating population created after the arrival of the pulse [(b) and (e)] and its time evolution [(c) and (f)]. The four arrows indicate the position of the vortex core. Note that in the images the steady state emission has not been subtracted. The false colors, and their corresponding values at the sidebars, show that, at low power, the density of the steady state is small or even close to zero (a), whereas, at high power, it has a spatial structure and a higher density in the whole region (d). After the arrival of the pulse, it is noticeable that in the latter case a decrease of density is observed even when the extra population, induced by the gain, has disappeared [(e) and (f)].



FIG. 3. (Color online) Vortex imprinting regimes (cross sections of the vortex time evolution—in both images the steady state is subtracted). (a) The vortex dies with the extra population (there is no negative values in the region on the core). (b) The vortex is imprinted into the steady state (the negative values of intensity show that there is a core in the steady state even when the extra population dies).

images [see Fig. 4(a)] down to the last detectable gain signal.¹¹ However, no effect is observed in the signal steady state after the extra polariton population has disappeared [Fig. 3(a)].

On the other hand, under high CW pump powers the probe gain is very short, lasting in the microcavity only slightly more than the pulse. However, under these conditions, the vortex carried by the probe laser field is imprinted into the polariton steady state of the signal and is observed to persist for more than 100 ps — normally only limited by the time at which the vortex leaves the spatial extension of the condensed signal. A typical streak camera image, obtained



FIG. 4. (Color online) Two different ways of measuring the rotation of the supercurrents. (a) Interference fringes with a fork dislocation characteristic of a single-quantized vortex. To obtain the amount of rotation we use the intensity profile of a cross section along the extra fringe and the region between the two adjacent fringes: the visibility, obtained from the maximum and minimum intensities, gives the amount of circulation. (b) An alternative way of measuring the vortex rotation: The momenta at opposite sides of the vortex core point toward contrary directions due to circulation. (c) Wavenumber distributions—black and red lines—corresponding to the two regions marked on (b) —right and left, respectively —, showing small and opposite finite values, which characterize the circulation. (d) Time evolution of the difference between the peak wavenumbers (Δk) (red dots) and of the extra population introduced by the probe (black line). Note that the circulation persists longer than the extra population, until the time, given by the dashed blue line, when the vortex leaves out the region of measurement.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Metastable vortex imprinted into the steady state (subtracted in these images). (a) Penetration of the vortex into the steady state evidenced by the negative values of its core intensity. (b) Interference image corresponding to (a) showing the forklike dislocation at the region of the core (dashed lines are guides-to-the-eye).

under this regime, is shown in Fig. 3(b). Here it is clearly visible the appearance of the short gain, in form of a doughnut shape that coexists with a depression (negative intensity values) in the region of the vortex core [see also Figs. 2(d)-2(f)]. This dip, with a diameter of $\sim 10 \ \mu m$, is usually ~ 10 – 20% of the signal intensity and moves around before, eventually, being expelled out of the condensate. By interfering these images with a constant phase reference beam, we can follow the corresponding time evolution of the interference fringes. In this manner, we observe that the deep core introduced by the probe vortex is always associated with a forklike dislocation, and we can conclude that the signal state sustains the metastable permanent flow introduced by the pulse. Note that while the power of the driving field is crucial for the modification of the steady state, the probe power has little effect once a minimum threshold is crossed.

Figure 5 shows two snapshots of the imprinted vortex 132 ps after the probe arrival. The real space image of the signal emission show the vortex core, in the deepest part of the image, surrounded by regions of varying emission intensity, mainly due to a modulated background given by imperfections and fluctuating photonic or excitonic potential. As a consequence the vortex is constrained by some potential profiles and forced to follow always a similar path along the way out from the polariton signal state. This is one of the main reason for the strong visibility of the fork [Fig. 5(b)], although every image is an average over different experiments starting with the same initial conditions. Despite this deterministic behavior, it is certainly possible that some percentage of the visibility of the imprinted vortex.

The physical mechanism underlying the transition between the two regimes can be explained in terms of the spatial coherence of the steady state. In Fig. 6 we show the interference images of the OPO signal with its own expanded part for two different pump powers, one close to the condensation threshold (a) and the other one six times bigger than it (b). The visibility of the interference fringes is a measurement of the long-range order coherence of the signal. Under low excitation power, the OPO signal has low long-range order coherence [Fig. 6(a)]. This fact can lead to a loss of the phase winding imprinted by the probe and the signal cannot sustain any permanent flow characteristic of superfluids. On





FIG. 6. Coherence of the OPO signal state as a function of the pump excitation power. At low powers (close to condensation threshold) the signal presents low long-range order coherence, and low visibility fringes are seen. At high power the signal shows more coherence and the fringes are more pronounced. The images are obtained by interfering the OPO-only signal with a constant wave front in a Michelson interferometer.

the other hand, increasing the pump power, the signal exhibiting a more coherent state [Fig. 6(b)] can display superfluid phenomena: The imprinted vortex survives as a metastable permanent flow in the OPO signal steady state. In this sense, as already pointed out in Ref. 10, the superfluid behavior is characterized by a dramatic increase in the lifetime of a quantized vortex.

Note that an external probe cannot equally easily transfer its angular momentum in every point of the steady state signal. This is possibly due to the supercurrent pattern generated by the OPO itself and by the potential landscape caused by defects, dislocations and cavity mirror fluctuations, which favor certain regions rather than others.

Another way of measuring the rotation of the polariton supercurrents is probing the difference in momentum at the two opposite sides of the vortex core, as proposed in Ref. 10 and depicted in Fig. 4(b). If the polariton fluid is circulating, the momentum of these two sides should have opposite directions, and would result in a finite difference in their momenta (Δk), which corresponds to the average angular momentum with respect to the vortex core. In Fig. 4(c) the momentum distribution corresponding to the regions marked with \uparrow (\downarrow) are depicted by a red (black) line, respectively. We have observed a finite difference in the momentum distribution between the left and right far field spectra of the signal polaritons for times much longer than the extra population lifetime [Fig. 4(d)]. After 50 ps the difference falls to zero due to the movement of the vortex core outside the central region. This again demonstrates that the signal steady state holds a permanent rotation long after the amplified gain population is gone.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that it is possible to give origin to a permanent rotation of polariton condensates by injecting a vortex state by an external pulsed laser beam into a steady state of polaritons condensate created via optical parametric scattering. Depending on the pumping conditions and the position on the sample, the vortex is created just in the triggered population or, in the other case, transferred as a metastable new state to the steady state of the signal. The vortex sustained by the steady state is the evidence of the manifestation of the superfluid behavior in nonequilibrium polariton condensates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to F. M. Marchetti, M. Szymanska, C. Tejedor, and F. P. Laussy for helpful discussion and careful reading of this manuscript.

This work was partially supported by the Spanish MEC (MAT2008-01555 and QOIT-CSD2006-00019), the CAM (S2009/ESP-1503) and FP7 ITNs "Clermont4" (235114). D.S. acknowledges financial support from the Ramón y Cajal program. G.T. is grateful for the FPI scholarship from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación.

- ¹C. Weisbuch, M. Nishioka, A. Ishikawa, and Y. Arakawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3314 (1992).
- ²J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. André, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and Le Si Dang, Nature 443, 409 (2006).
- ³R. B. Balili, V. Hartwell, D. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Science **316**, 1007 (2007).
- ⁴J. J. Baumberg, A. V. Kavokin, S. Christopoulos, A. J. D. Grundy, R. Butté, G. Christmann, D. D. Solnyshkov, G. Malpuech, G. Baldassarri Höger von Högersthal, E. Feltin, J.-F. Carlin, and N. Grandjean, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 136409 (2008).
- ⁵E. Wertz, L. Ferrier, D. Solnyshkov, R. Johne, D. Sanvitto, A. Lemaître, I. Sagnes, R. Grousson, A. V. Kavokin, P. Senellart, G. Malpuech, and J. Bloch, Nat. Phys. 6, 860 (2010).
- ⁶A. Amo, D. Sanvitto, F. P. Laussy, D. Ballarini, E. del Valle, M. D. Martin, A. Lemaître, J. Bloch, D. N. Krizhanovskii, M. S. Skolnick, C. Tejedor, and L. Viña, Nature **457**, 291 (2009).
- ⁷A. Amo, J. Lefrère, S. Pigeon, C. Adrados, C. Ciuti, I. Carusotto, R. Houdré, E. Giacobino, and A. Bramati, Nat. Phys. 5, 805 (2009).
- ⁸D. Sanvitto, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymaánska, G. Tosi, M. Baudisch, F. P. Laussy, D. N. Krizhanovskii, M. S. Skolnick, L. Marrucci,
- A. Lemaître, J. Bloch, C. Tejedor, and L. Viña, Nat. Phys. 6, 527 (2010).
- ⁹F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, C. Tejedor, and D. M. Whittaker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 063902 (2010).
- ¹⁰M. Wouters and V. Savona, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 054508 (2010).
- ¹¹G. Tosi, M. Baudisch, D. Sanvitto, L. Viña, A. Lemaître, J. Bloch, E. Karimi, B. Piccirillo, and L. Marrucci, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **210**, 012023 (2010).